

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,612	02/05/2004	Larry Ragle	FOX004C	5070	
7.	590 10/01/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
David G. Bec		QUARTERMAN, KEVIN J			
Patent Law Off P.O. Box 1146	fice of David G. Beck	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Mill Valley, C	A 94942	2879			
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/772,61	2	RAGLE, LARRY		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Kevin Qu		2879		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state iod will apply and wi atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 February 20	<u>04</u> .			
·		his action is n				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examemath The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ acc the drawing(s) b rection is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/772,612

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 2. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. Claims 1-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,737,801. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other as shown in Table 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,612

Art Unit: 2879

Table 1

Instant Application 10/772,612	US 6,737,801 (Ragle)	Discussion of Differences
Claim 1	Claim 10	Claim 6 of Ragle includes a cross-talk
		minimization layer, which simply gives it more
		structure than claim 1 of the instant application.
Claim 2	Claim 10	Same as above
Claim 3	Claim 3	Same as above
Claim 4	Claim 4	Same as above
Claim 5	Claim 5	Same as above
Claim 6	Claim 10	Same as above
Claim 7	Claim 7	Same as above
Claim 8	Claim 8	Same as above
Claim 9	Claim 9	Same as above
Claim 10	Claim 11	Same as above
Claim 11	Claim 12	Same as above
Claim 12	Claim 10	Same as above
Claim 13	Claim 13	Same as above
Claim 14	Claim 14	Same as above
Claim 15	Claim 25	Claim 25 of Ragle includes a cross-talk
	1	minimization layer, which simply gives it more
		structure than claim 15 of the instant application.
Claim 16	Claim 16	Same as above
Claim 17	Claim 17	Same as above
Claim 18	Claim 18	Same as above
Claim 19	Claim 19	Same as above
Claim 20	Claim 20	Same as above
Claim 21	Claim 21	Same as above
Claim 22	Claim 22	Same as above
Claim 23	Claim 23	Same as above
Claim 24	Claim 24	Same as above
Claim 25	Claim 26	Same as above
Claim 26	Claim 15	Same as above
Claim 27	Claim 27	Same as above
Claim 28	Claim 28	Same as above

Application/Control Number: 10/772,612

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quarterman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Quarterman Examiner Art Unit 2879

28 September 2004

Joseph Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879